

Message

---

**From:** Evan Wortman [e.wortman@erllc.com]  
**Sent:** 10/29/2018 3:20:15 PM  
**To:** Moore, Gary [Moore.Gary@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Final Analytical Set  
**Attachments:** TCEQ Classification Guidelines.pdf

OK, I'm hoping we don't have the same issues either. David Thornburg initially told me he thought it would be Class II but I don't have a lot of confidence in the sales representatives. Page 40 (document page 35) of the attached TCEQ Classification Guidelines lists dioxin analysis as part of the Class II determination. We briefly discussed at the site walk that we wouldn't have this data due to the turn around time. Since that's not a constituent of concern they may not require it however, we'll see.

---

**From:** Moore, Gary <Moore.Gary@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 29, 2018 9:09 AM  
**To:** Evan Wortman <e.wortman@erllc.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Final Analytical Set

Evan:

That's fine but I need you to be able to discuss this with Republic so that they are not pushing us to the Class 1 landfill when the waste maybe a Class II. It is our waste so we need to know as well to be able to discuss this with them.

I am hoping that we are not going to have the same issues we had in Henryetta.

Thanks  
Gary Moore

---

**From:** Evan Wortman [mailto:e.wortman@erllc.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 29, 2018 9:58 AM  
**To:** Moore, Gary <Moore.Gary@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Don Edgington <d.edgington@erllc.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Final Analytical Set

Morning Gary, if it's alright with you I'd like to put this responsibility on the landfill (Republic). Ultimately, it is up to their approvals department to accept the waste as Class II. So rather than duplicate the effort and charge you time to sort the data we can have Republic do it for us.

Evan

---

**From:** Moore, Gary <Moore.Gary@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 26, 2018 4:03 PM  
**To:** Evan Wortman <e.wortman@erllc.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Final Analytical Set

Evan:

Did we ever get the final TCLP lab data package for FJ Doyle?

Also, I reviewed the data and it looks like TPH and Barium were the only detections and it appeared that they did not exceed the TCEQ Criteria and therefore it looks like the Non-TSCA material could be classified as Class 2 NH Waste and

go to the Maloy Landfill. As mentioned In the previous email, I need someone to go through this data and make sure that it meets the TCEQ requirements to be a Class 2 NH Waste.

Obviously, the TSCA soils will be a Class 1 NH but they have to go to the TSCA Landfill. I need someone to navigate the checklist in the TCEQ Waste Classification document and send it to me.

Thanks  
Gary Moore

---

**From:** Moore, Gary  
**Sent:** Friday, October 26, 2018 4:26 PM  
**To:** Evan Wortman <[e.wortman@erllc.com](mailto:e.wortman@erllc.com)>  
**Subject:** FW: Final Analytical Set

Evan:

Can you put this data in a table and compare it to the Federal TCLP criteria and the TCEQ Class 1 Criteria to show me if our material is Federal Hazardous, TCEQ NH Class 1, or TCEQ Class 2 NH. I know that for all grids that we designate as TSCA grids that they will be a NH Class 1. I am more concerned with the Non-TSCA grids to see if they are a NH Class 1 or Class 2. This is where the T&D guys earn their money.

Thank  
Gary Moore

---

**From:** Don Edgington [<mailto:d.edgington@erllc.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 19, 2018 4:11 PM  
**To:** [dthornburg@republicservices.com](mailto:dthornburg@republicservices.com); [caldrete@republicservices.com](mailto:caldrete@republicservices.com)  
**Cc:** Evan Wortman <[e.wortman@erllc.com](mailto:e.wortman@erllc.com)>; Moore, Gary <[Moore.Gary@epa.gov](mailto:Moore.Gary@epa.gov)>; Delgado, Paige <[Delgado.Paige@epa.gov](mailto:Delgado.Paige@epa.gov)>; Janice Rick <[j.rick@erllc.com](mailto:j.rick@erllc.com)>; Angela Harbin <[a.harbin@erllc.com](mailto:a.harbin@erllc.com)>  
**Subject:** Final Analytical Set

Good afternoon.

Attached please find the third and final analysis, in draft form, relating to the TSCA and non-regulated soils to be excavated at the F.J. Doyle removal in Leonard, Texas.

Sample No. FJD-WP1 is a composite sample associated with those grids that had elevated (>50 ppm) PCBs in the original Weston assessment sample. Sample No(s) FJD-WP2 and WP3 are also composites and are associated with those grids that had PCB levels below 50 ppm.

Due to the late delivery of this set of analysis, the bid due date has been extended until 10:00 AM on Wednesday, 10/24/18.

Thanks,

Don

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail and any attachments contain information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Although this email has been scanned for malware, the sender does not accept any

responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify by return e-mail. Thank you.